

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,354	09/10/2003	Stephen F. Yates	H0004293	5140
Honeywell Inte	7590 05/03/2007 emational Inc		EXAM	INER
Honeywell International, Inc. Law Dept. AB2			CONLEY, SEAN EVERETT	
P.O. Box 2245 Morristown, NJ 07962-9806		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		•	1744	
	,		· 	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/660,354	YATES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sean E. Conley	1744
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a root will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. Teply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ The street of the condition for allowing the condition for all the conditions for all the co	nis action is non-final.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	• •
Disposition of Claims	•	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44 and 64-66</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdeneds of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-44, 64-66</u> are subject to restriction	rawn from consideration.	nt.
Application Papers	•	·
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ariority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
		·
Attachment(c)		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed February 16, 2007 has been received. Claims 1-44 and 64-66 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction requirement in the reply filed on February 6, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the reasons for distinction between Groups I and II no longer apply, as the combination (claim1) now requires the particulars of the subcombination (claim 33, as amended). This argument is found persuasive because the applicant has amended claim 33 so that the combination of Group I requires the particulars of the subcombination as claimed in amended Group II. Therefore, the restriction between Groups I and II is withdrawn and claims 33-44 are rejoined to elected claims 1-32.

The applicant also traverses the requirement for an election of species and argues that the species restriction is improper because the unit of figure 1 may be used a multiple of times to result in the units of figures 2A or 2B. Upon further consideration of the species this argument is persuasive. Therefore, the species restriction is withdrawn. However, a new species requirement appears below since figures 2A and 2B remain directed to distinct species. See the restriction requirement below.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,354

Art Unit: 1744

Election/Restrictions

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species:

Species I: figure 2A

Species II: figure 2B

Species III: figure 3

The species are independent or distinct because each of the figures associated

with each species identifies a structurally different type of air quality system (20', 20",

120).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless

accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/660,354

Art Unit: 1744

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of a species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1744

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean E. Conley whose telephone number is 571-272-8414. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sec Hec

April 27, 2007

GLADYS JP CORCORAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER